

**Math A4400: Mathematical Logic**  
**Problem set 8, due at 2pm on tuesday, april 19th.**  
**Solutions turned in after 2:05pm are late and get half credit.**

**This problem set is about sections 2.4-2.5 of Mathematical Logic Lecture Notes by van den Dries.**

1. Fix a signature  $S$ , an  $S$ -structures  $\mathcal{A}$ , and an  $S$ -term  $t$  whose variables are among  $v_0, v_1, v_2$ .
  - (a) Show that the function  $t(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  is the same as the function  $u(v_8, v_6, v_7)^{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $u = t(v_8/v_0, v_6/v_1, v_7/v_2)$  comes from simultaneous substitution.
  - (b) Is  $t(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  the same function as  $w(v_1, v_0, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $w = t(v_1/v_0, v_0/v_1)$ ?
  - (c) Is  $t(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  the same function as  $s(v_1, v_0, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $s = t(v_1/v_0, v_1/v_2)$ ?
  - (d) Describe the function  $\pi : A^5 \rightarrow A^3$  such that  $t(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}} \circ \pi = t(v_0, v_1, v_3, v_2, v_{17})^{\mathcal{A}}$ .
2. Fix a signature  $S$  and an  $S$ -structures  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is an  $S$ -formula without quantifiers whose variables are among  $v_0, v_1, v_2$ .
  - (a) Show that the set  $\phi(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  is the same as the set  $\theta(v_8, v_6, v_7)^{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $\theta = \phi(v_8/v_0, v_6/v_1, v_7/v_2)$  comes from simultaneous replacement, defined on p.32.
  - (b) Is  $\phi(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  the same set as  $\chi(v_1, v_0, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $\chi = \phi(v_1/v_0, v_0/v_1)$ ?
  - (c) Is  $\phi(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  the same set as  $\gamma(v_1, v_0, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $\gamma = \phi(v_1/v_0, v_1/v_2)$ ?
  - (d) Show that  $\phi(v_0, v_1, v_2)^{\mathcal{A}} \times A^2 = t(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_{17})^{\mathcal{A}}$ .
3. Fix a signature  $S$ , an  $S$ -structures  $\mathcal{A}$ , and positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ . Suppose that  $X \subseteq A^m$  and  $Y \subseteq A^n$  are definable by  $S$ -formulas without quantifiers. Prove that  $X \times Y \subseteq A^{m+n}$  is definable by some  $S$ -formula without quantifiers; or find a counterexample.
4. Fix a signature  $S$ , an  $S$ -structures  $\mathcal{A}$ , a positive integer  $m \geq 7$ , and a constant symbol  $C \in S$ . Suppose that  $X \subset A^m$  is definable by an  $S$ -formula without quantifiers. Prove that the set

$$\{(a_1, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_m) \in A^{m-1} : (a_1, C^{\mathcal{A}}, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_m) \in X\}$$

is definable by an  $S$ -formula without quantifiers; or find a counterexample.

5. Let  $S$  be the signature with a unary function symbol  $M$ , binary function symbols  $P$  and  $T$ , and a constant symbol  $W$ ; and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $S$ -structure with universe  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $W^{\mathcal{A}} := 1$ , with  $M^{\mathcal{A}}(a) := -a$ , and with  $P$  interpreted as addition and  $T$  interpreted as multiplication.
  - (a) Prove that for any set  $X \subset A$  defined by an  $S$ -formula without quantifiers, one of  $X$  and  $A \setminus X$  is finite; or find a counterexample.
  - (b) Prove that for any term function  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ , one of the image  $I$  of  $\alpha$  and its complement  $A \setminus I$  is finite; or find a counterexample.